bulk drug was recommended in accordance with the basic approach of customs duty rationalisation that where formulation is duty free, the concerned bulk drug should also be allowed to be imported duty .free, with the objective of foreign exchange savings, value addition, within the, country, etc.

(c) To the extent information is available, the bulk drug Ceftazidine is being imported by the company at Rs. 66,530 per kg.

(e) This is linked with the ongoing review of the Drug Policy.

Disclosure of names of formulations

1950. SHRI ASHOK NATH VERMA:
CHOWDHARY RAM SEWAK:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 2074 given in the Rajya Sabha on 12.12.91 and state;

(a) whether it is a fact that the disclosure of names of 500 formulations for which prices have been fixed during 1991, their earlier prices and revised prices is not being made to hide the omissions and commissions of some bureaucrats; and

(fo) what steps are proposed to be taken in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MO-HkN): (a) Nd Sir.

(b) Does -not arise.

Payment of import price difference by drug companies

1951. CHOWDHARY RAM SEWAK: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact the Government have decided to ignore the higher import price difference of s 600 per kg.: being paid by M/s. Hoeschst and M]s Roussel;

(b) whether his Ministry have also de-aided to ignore non-reduction in price of formulations based on custom duty exempting on this drug;

(c) what was the price of formulations based on Cefotaxoim of M|s. Hoechst and M/s. Roussel before and after custom duty withdrawal;

(d) whether it is a fact that supression of DPEA liabilities decontrol of Glyben-clamide without justification, custom duty reduction of Cefotaxim without justification all show that the Government run by these companies or at the instance ot these companies;

(e) whether it is a fact that in the process common man has been over-charged by crores;

(f) what are the reasons for supression of liabilities of these companies decontrol of their drugs without basis and exemption/reduction of custom duty; and

(g) what is the relation of these companies with each other?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN); (a) and (b) It is not clear from the Question that on what bulk drug the higher import price is being paid by M/s. Hoechst & Roussel.

(c) The earlier price and the revised price of 1 gm. Injection based on Cefotaxim Sodium of both M/s. Hoechst and M|s. Roussel, are Rs. 184/- and Rs. 131.90 respectively (both inclusive *ot* excise duty but exclusive of local taxes).

(d) and (e) No, Sir.

(f) There is no question of supression of liabilities. These are determined on the basis of data available with the Gov ernment . Inculsion|exculsion of of drugs under price control is based on the recommendation of the Expert Committee (Kelkar Commit tee). Reduction/exemption of custom! duty is recommended on the basis o: rationale that if end product enjoys coa cessional duty or is exempted from cus toms duty, the same benefit should extended to raw materials also in order to encourage indigenous production and ef feet saving in foreign exchange.